

**THE BOND STREET BUTCHER**

ANOTHER CROWD IN THE STREET.  
A SHORT DAY'S WORK.  
TWELFTH DAYS PROCEEDING.

The interest which appeared to have considerably abated in this case, has been revived by the testimony given on Tuesday by Mr. Ennall (who identified Edna as the man who came to the door in his shirt-sleeve the night of the murder).

About five hundred persons, men, women and children, stood in front of the house No. 31 Bond street during the whole of yesterday. No interest is manifested, now that the Jury have arrived at the testimony of the medical witnesses regarding the blood traces, and the salies in the grate of the mystery.

The steps of the several houses opposite to that in which Dr. Hurdell was murdered are used by the patient but anxious outsiders as galleries. Yesterday afternoon three of these flights of outdoor steps appeared to constitute the "dress circle" of the audience. Townsies and thrivers of the city are also numerous represented, and from all accounts are working devoutly and successfully. Four or five persons stationed on Reporters that they had their pockets picked yesterday either in the crowd which occupied the platform or in the street on the approach to the house. The Depredators of these thefts crowd together on the steps of Dr. Hurdell's house, so that persons passing into and out of the house have to use considerable physical strength to push themselves through.

George Sorenson, the hero of the bang, arrived at his apartments in the Fifthward Ward Station house

It is also stated that Augusta and Helen Cawington spent Tuesday evening as if nothing bad gone wrong. The absence of their mother, who was taken to Tomb for safety on Tuesday afternoon, did not seem to have made the slightest impression upon them. They conducted themselves with such a degree of levity that the officers, who are not easily shocked, were actually rebuffed of them. They were excessively joyous; and these demonstrations of reckless happiness, and that depreciation of the value of which they so amply vindicated by their testimony before the Jury, are still more apparent in their more tired life. This being the case, it is not wonderful if Miss Augusta should testify that she did not

whether she was going to continue to live in that house or not, and that she knew nothing and cared nothing.

Yesterday the trunk business was duly disposed of as will be seen in our report of the testimony. The testimony of the first witness elicited a glance at history of Eckel's acquaintance with his washerwoman and some connection with the Madison Square Park Office matrimonial correspondence. The medical testimony was also introduced, and will continue to follow after the chemical analysis of the blood washes will be produced and disposed of.

Yesterday, during one of the long intervals which has characterized this investigation, an artist entered

The testimony given on Tuesday by Mr. Farrell is

THE TESTIMONY.

The inquest was opened at 11 30 a. m.

Counter-Gentlemen of the Jury: In reply to the second telegraph from Philadelphia I have received just now this answer:

— To W. W. CONNATT, Counsel, 31 Bond street:—

The trunk in my possession belongs to Mr. Poebles, was left with me on the 25th or 26th of January last, it is green trunk marked on the bottom with the name of J. Poebles, a card, "E. G. Fechter, N. Y. City." Mr. Poebles was known with me.

JAMES M. BERRY.

— Philadelphia, Feb. 10, 1860, 12 a. m.

TESTIMONY OF GEORGE DOUGLASS.

O. Are you acquainted with Mr. E. Eckel, whose as-

Q. Are you a friend of his as well as an acquaintance?  
A. I have always considered him a very good friend of mine.

Q. How long have you sustained that relation?  
A. It is ten years that I have been acquainted with him.

Q. Has he had his washing done by you at your house?  
A. He has, Sir.

Q. For how long a time?  
A. Along in the Summer of 1864, somewhere probably in the middle of the Summer that he commenced.

Q. When did you do the last washing?  
A. The last

Q. What articles? A. There was two shirts, a night shirt and a pair of socks, but one of those shirts was Mr. Snodgrass's, one of the officers of the Fifth Ward Station House fetched them to me.

Q. Now regarding the trunk? A. I sent for these myself; I left at your house. A. I sent for these myself; I left here to the house, either on Monday or Tuesday; I saw the habit of sending there Monday or Tuesday.

Q. The previous day? A. Yes, Sir.

Q. Were about that time or any time within two three weeks or way or the other of the time of the event any clothes sent in a trunk to your house belonging to him? A. No, Sir, for they didn't come in trunk.

Q. There are trucks sent there with clothes to wash whom you knew nothing about? A. Could I have been, for I certainly should have seen.

Q. Is that the business you pursue—the laund business? A. Yes, Sir.

Q. Were those clothes that were last sent to 2 clothes that were taken from the person at or about a time they were sent? A. Yes, Sir, one of the officer

Q. Which shirt did he take off—the one he had borrowed of Mr. Snodgrass or his own? A. Yes, I mislaid that one; I didn't understand that he had borrowed it; they were confined together, and both were there.

Q. Did you examine that shirt? A. Not particularly.

Q. You had heard of this event? A. Yes, Sir.

But your attention was not called upon any way to

Q. Had you any acquaintance with this family?  
No, sir, to more than if I should meet them in the  
I should probably recognize them enough to pass  
complaints of the morning; if I should meet them  
the street I don't think I should know them.